### Travelling through time with corpus annotation software



Paul Rayson Computing Department Lancaster University





### Not just my own work ...

- Nicholas Smith
- Alistair Baron



Dawn Archer







### Motivation

### Part 1















- Internet Archive
- December 2006
- 100,000 books on its servers
- Public access
- Opt in





- Microsoft Book Search
- Via MSN
- 100,000 books in the British Library
- Beta test in USA from December 2006











- Small excerpts online due to copyright restriction ... court case ongoing
- Opt out
- MBooks: entire collection at University of Michigan library
- Oxford University (1 million books of Bodleian Library)





- Digital facsimile page images of virtually every work printed in England, Ireland, Scotland, Wales from 1473-1700
- Separate initiative Text Creation Partnership is creating SGML versions for full text of 25,000 EEBO works



8/45









### Output from these initiatives

- Typically image based
- Some full text available for searching if not download
  - E.g. TCP data available to members
- Focussed on historical and out of copyright material



### Typical operations on modern data

- Annotation
  - POS tagging
- Retrieval
  - Frequency lists
  - N-grams
  - Search Engines
  - Concordances
  - Collocations









## We will need to carry out similar operations on historical data

- Historical corpus linguistics
- Search engines for new text collections and digital libraries
- Named entity extraction
- Historical text mining
- New research methods in History



# Problems faced when applying modern tools to historical data

Part 2







Using automated systems of annotation on historical texts is problematic ...

EModE texts pose the following "problems":

- Archaic –eth and –(e)st verb suffixes, e.g. doth, hath, hast, sayeth, etc., which persist in specialised contexts: religious and poetic usage
- Fused forms, e.g. '*Tis* (*It is*)
- Spellings that are variable even in modern-day usage, e.g. center/centre, skilful/skilful/skilfull, the suffixes -or/-our, -ise/-ize
- Archaic forms like *howbeit*, *betwixt*, for which no obvious modern equivalent exists
- Compound words, e.g. *it self*, *now adays*, *in stead*
- Proper names of Latin origin that are sometimes modernised, e.g. Galilaeo (Galileo)
- In consequence ... the results generated by existing software are not always robust!





### Accuracy and robustness

- POS taggers tested across registers and genres of modern data for coverage and accuracy
- Less is known about their accuracy on historical data
- Spelling issues
  - Modern: hyphenation and tokenisation
  - Historical: different conventions, compositing practices



### Possible solutions

#### Part 3







### Previous work in ...



- Fuzzy search engine
- Aimed at successful retrieval for novice users without expertise in the text
- Expand the query term using known letter replacements
- Text can't be pre-indexed
- 100% recall important, precision obtained via sorting results





### Previous work in ...

- Adding historical variants to POS tagger's lexicon
  - E.g. TreeTagger application to GerManC
- Back-dating lexicon
  - E.g. ENGCG application to Helsinki corpus





### Our scenario

- Apply to a number of techniques
- POS and Semantic tagging
- Frequency profiling, n-grams etc

 Crucially – most previous approaches don't deal with contextual variants





...to further develop an existing Modern Tagger (= the UCREL Semantic Annotation System)

... USAS <u>automatically</u> annotates present-day texts (spoken and written) ...







### Semantic fields captured by the tagger(s)

### Hierarchy of 21 major discourse fields (see below), which expands into 232 semantic field tags:

n loval of the HS/

	Table 1 : The top level of the USAS system		
A: General & Abstract Terms	B: The Body & the Individual	C: Arts & Crafts	E: Emotional Actions, States & Processes
F: Food & Farming	G: Government & the Public Domain	H: Architecture, Building Houses & the Home	I: Money & Commerce in Industry
K: Entertainment, Sports & Games	<b>L:</b> Life & Living Things	M: Movement, Location, Travel & Transport	N: Numbers & Measurement
<b>O:</b> substances, Materials, Objects & Equipment	P: Education	<b>Q:</b> Linguistic Actions, States & Processes	<b>S:</b> Social Actions, States & Processes
T: Time Z: Names &	W: The World & Our Environment	X: Psychological Actions, States & Processes	Y: Science & Technology

Names & Grammatical Words

Presently exploring ways in which we may need to alter/ amend the 232 categories for the Historical Semantic Tagger

- this work will also draw on Shakespearean Thesauri (i.e. Spevack 1993, Trussler 1986) for Early Modern period





### The Structure of the Modern Tagger

Incorporates "modern" lexical resources, i.e. a list of single word forms and multi-word units (MWUs)

... which are fed into a PART-OF-SPEECH and SEMANTIC tagger ...





PALC April 2007





### An important point about the VARD

Although the VARD allows for the detection and "normalisation" of variants to their modern equivalents, it should be noted that ...

- The original variants are retained in the text
- We're not carrying out <u>spell checking</u> per se (no "correct" spelling in EmodE period) ...
  - Rather, our ultimate aim is to develop a system that does not merely offer the user possible "suggestions" for spelling variants (as in the case of MS-Word and Aspell), but *automatically* regularises variants within a text to their modernised forms so that historical corpora become more amenable to further annotation and analysis.





VARD uses a hybrid approach to match EmodE variants to modern equivalents

Version 1

Known variants list

- Version 2
  - Soundex
  - Edit distance
  - Letter replacement heuristics
- Version 3
  - Contextual rules





#### Known variants list

- A search and replace script and a list of terms, which
   "matches" spelling variants to their "normalised" equivalents:
  - Presently contains 45,805 entries
  - With several categories: "o", "m", "mod", "d", "f", etc.
  - Manually constructed (although labour intensive, has proved to be accurate: see Rayson et al., 2005)







#### Soundex match (O'Dell and Russell 1918)

... Identifies strings that sound similar regardless of their spelling ...

1. Replace all but the first letter with the digit listed below:

- 2. Remove any pairs of digits that are the same and occur next to each other in the string.
- 3. Remove all occurrences of the digit 0.
- 4. The Soundex code is the first 4 letters of the remaining string.

'disapont' and 'disappoint' both have code D215 But so do 'dispense', 'deceiving' and 'despond'





#### Edit distance

- Levenshtein distance (1965)
- = Measure of similarity between two strings
- 'disapont' -> 'disappoint' distance = 2: insertion: p insertion: i
- 'disapont' -> 'dispense' distance = 4: deletion: a substitution: o → e substitution: t → s insertion: e
- 'disapont' -> 'deceiving' distance = 7: substitution:  $i \rightarrow e$ substitution:  $s \rightarrow c$ substitution:  $a \rightarrow e$ insertion: isubstitution:  $p \rightarrow v$ substitution:  $o \rightarrow i$ substitution:  $t \rightarrow g$ PALC April 2007 28/45

- Manually constructed based on corpus data
- 51 rules, some specifying 'context' for replacement
  Replace final ek with a
  - Replace final ck with c
  - Replace u with v
  - Replace v with u
  - Replace final 'd with ed
  - Remove final e







### Starting to automatically derive these

### From 45K known variant (types)

- Edit distance 1: 27067
- Edit distance 2: 11918
- Edit distance 3: 4350
- Edit distance 4: 897
- Edit distance 5+: 216

#### Frequencies of letter replacements

- e >> \_: 6501
- □ ' >> e: 2730
- □ y >> i: 2602
- □ u >> v: 1662

• ...





#### **Contextual rules**

- A component to cope with inconsistencies (orthographical and other) that can only be disambiguated via the "context"
- Contextual rules
  - then/than, bee/be, doe/do
  - Apostrophes
- Uses context rules, such as 'if ... then', e.g. ...
  - If the input consists of:

hertagged as APPGE (possesive pronoun)Majestiestagged as NN2 (plural noun)Then:change the wordMajesties to ...Majesty's (sing. noun+genitive)

#### NOTE:- we also intend to make use of *semantic* info.



PALC April 2007



#### Machine learning

- Trained by manual additions to the dictionary
- Weighting of different approaches changes during the use of the system ...
  - e.g. when applied to Shetland component of SCOTS corpus, Soundex is preferred over known variants





### Training the system to learn as it normalises ...





### Evaluation

#### Part 4







#### Some preliminary results ...

No. of variants initially found in MND by VARD = 1610. A quick check of the variants revealed that a handful of these were "real" words that VARD had not recognised (because of not being in our list (=BNC Written Sampler))

Some real words were LATINATE terms ... our present approach is to ignore these.

Others were NAMES of CHARACTERS ... we tend to add these to the existing list.

The majority of "real" words were words still in use today, but which are not found in the BNC Written Sampler ... consequently, we are interested in incorporating a more comprehensive word list ...





#### First 150 variants

VARD was able to offer appropriate suggestions for 149. The first suggestion tended to be the right one ...

.. with the exception of "vnhardned" ... a possible solution here is to affix-strip.

#### Types of variant "normalised" (from 150 list):

u - v	e.g. aduis'd (1), beleeue (5), haue (95), leaue (15)
v - u	e.g. vrg'd (1), vs (21), vsuall (1), voyce (5), vp (26)
ie-y	e.g. chastitie (1), daies (3)
i – j	e.g. iewels (1), iniuries (1), iudgment (1)
Extra e	e.g. asleepe (5), Bottome (14), confesse (3)
'd	e.g. chang'd (2), adus'd (1), bewitch'd (1)
Double II	e.g. beautifull (1)

Also normalised apricocks to apricots, acquain-tance to acquaintance, etc.

Variation that VARD deals with successfully ...

Apostrophes signalling missing letter(s) or sound(s): 'fore ("before"), hee'l ("he will"),

Irregular apostrophe usage: *again'st* ("against"), *whil'st* ("whilst")

- Contracted forms: '*tis* ("it is"), *thats* ("that is"), *youle* ("you will"), *t'anticipate* (" to anticipate")
- Hyphenated forms: acquain-tance ("acquaintance")
- Variation due to different use of graphs: <v>, <u>, <i>, <y>
- Doubling of vowels and consonants e.g. <-oo-> <-ll>

#### Phenomena that is proving more problematic:

*I* to represent *aye* (= "yes") Contraction of "stand-alone" words (e.g. *shalbe*) Compounds that are now open (e.g. *Townes-men*) Compounds that were then open (e.g. *our selues*) Capitalisation (but useful as a "noun" marker?)





### Where next with the prototype ...?

- The prototype is not yet making use of the contextual rules we've developed to cope with inconsistencies relating to the genitive and "then" versus "than", etc.
- These contextual rules rely on part-of-speech information
- Derive new letter replacement rules from training corpus and known variants list
- In addition …
  - We want to make use of semantic domain information as a means of disambiguating which variant forms belong to which normalised forms in instances where a one-to-one mapping isn't feasible – e.g. *piece/peace* and *peece*
  - We are considering whether the inclusion of etymological information might provide a further means of choosing between possible variants – by, for example, helping us to eliminate some variant-to-head word mappings if they cannot occur in a particular century ...?



### The user's experience ...



The user will utilise the VARD to detect and normalise spelling variants ... at which point, the user will be given the option of part-of-speech tagging and semantically tagging their chosen

text(s)

Once the text has been tagged, the user will have access to a split screen interface ...

One window will provide an option to view the text (*in its original state or in its amended state*)

The remaining window will allow users to perform a number of searches ... at the word, P-O-S and semantic level







#### Part the last







### Summary and research potential

When you go travelling in time with corpus software ...



We know that we have to deal with altering the taxonomies used at POS and Semantic level to reflect changes in grammar and meaning over time





### Future possibilities ...?

- The VARD also allows for the exploration of spelling variation systematically. This might be across different <u>centuries</u> and/or across different <u>text-types</u>
- We would like to explore the feasibility of adapting the

VARD so that it can "normalise":

- Historical periods that are pre-Shakespeare
- Dialectal variation in Pres-Day texts



### Thank you for your interest !

Contact details: Paul Rayson (paul@comp.lancs.ac.uk)

Further details re VARD and the Historical Tagger, available at: http://www.comp.lancs.ac.uk/ucrel/

Work presented here was carried out within the following project:

 Scragg Revisited funded by the British Academy (under the small research grant scheme)

